

REMARKS

This response is made to the Office Action dated June 29, 2005. This application was filed with fourteen claims of which claims 13 and 14 were previously withdrawn and claims 1, 5, 6, 11 and 12 are currently amended to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention.

First, Applicant notes with appreciation that the Examiner indicates the allowability of the subject matter of claims 1 - 12.

Claims 1 - 12 are rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention, however. Claims 1, 5, 6, 11 and 12 are currently amended in consideration of the Examiner's comments to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention. More particularly as to claim 9, which depends directly from independent claim 1, the phrase "the gaps" is supported with antecedent basis in line 18 of claim 1. Thus, for the reasons above, this rejection is overcome and claims 1 - 12 are allowable.

Reconsideration of this application is requested. The claims pending in this application are submitted as being allowable. A notice of allowance is, therefore, requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KENNETH D. MCKIBBEN; ALAN GOULD;
DANIEL D. MINOR

Dated: 11/29/05

By 

John A. Waters, Reg. No. 24,802
VARNUM, RIDDERING, SCHMIDT & HOWLETT
Bridgewater Place
333 Bridge Street
Post Office Box 352
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501-0352
(616) 336-6000

#1190651